

Syria's Chemical Weapons - Lawbreakers Rule Supreme

Syria's surrender of its chemical weapons last autumn raised the question - where to dispose of the toxic agents? Felicity Arbuthnot reports on a toxic tale of chaos, corruption, grand theft, and a growing strategic imbalance in the Middle East.

By Felicity Arbuthnot

Global Research, April 11, 2018

Region: Middle East & North Africa

Theme: Intelligence, Militarization and

WMD

In-depth Report: **SYRIA**

Featured image: A field deployable hydrolysis system, designed to neutralize chemical weapons aboard the container ship MV Cape Ray, Jan. 2, 2014. (Source: US Department of Defense)

This article was originally published by the Ecologist on March 13, 2014.

On 12th September 2013 Syria's President al-Assad committed to surrender Syria's chemical weapons, with the caveats that the United States must stop threatening his country and supplying weapons to the terrorists.

He has been as good as his word. The same cannot be said for the US and its boot-licking allies.

Three days earlier US Secretary of State John Kerry had threatened Syria with a military strike if the weapons stocks were not surrendered within a week, stating that President Assad "isn't about to do it and it can't be done."

The same Kerry, incidentally, who as a Swiftboat commander had been avidly <u>killing</u> <u>Vietnamese</u> in the US onslaught on Vietnam as American 'planes rained down 388,000 tons of <u>chemical weapons</u> on the Vietnamese people.

Hazardous – and no plan in place

The trigger-happy Kerry was right on the second count. It can't be done for two reasons. First, extracting dangerous chemicals from a war zone is, to massively understate, a foolhardy and hazardous business.

Second, the 'international community' and the Nobel Peace Prize winning Organisation for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW) had no disposal plan in place, and not a clue what to do with the surrendered weapons.

As ever double standards and hypocrisy rule. The US has been slow to dismantle and destroy its own chemical arsenal. According to CNN in a report on 10th October 2013:

"The United States estimates it will be at least another decade before it completes destruction of the remaining 10% of its chemical weapons, estimated at more than 3.100 tons."

And Syria? "U.S. intelligence and other estimates put its chemical weapons stockpile at about 1,000 tons." They are believed to be "stored in dozens of sites".

A logistical nightmare

In the circumstances of a fierce civil war that creates a logistical nightmare and a massive danger to the public and those transporting them anywhere.

CNN also quotes Wade Mathews who had worked on "the U.S. project to destroy its chemical stockpile" who doubted that Syria could meet the deadlines. The US operation, he said,

"took billions of dollars, the cooperation of many levels of government – including the military – and a safe environment to make sure the destruction was done safely ...

"We had a coordinated effort, we had a government that insisted that it be done safely and that the community was protected ... I don't think those things are in place in Syria."

But where are they to be destroyed?

A Science Applications International Report explains that the residue from chemical weapons is highly unpleasant:

"Soluble forms present chemical hazards, primarily to the kidneys, while insoluble forms present hazards to the lungs from ionizing radiation ... short term effects of high doses can result in death, while long term effects of low doses have been implicated in cancer."

This presented OPCW with a problem - what country would accept the weapons for destruction on its territory? OPCW started shopping around and Norway, approached by the US, was its first choice.

They declined, since the country had no experience in dealing with chemical weapons, the Foreign Ministry website stating that "Norway is not the most suitable location for this destruction."

However Norway did offer the use of cargo ship to take the weapons to another destination.

So the prize goes to ... Albania

The second country approached was Albania – a request which the country's Prime Minister Edi Rama said also came direct from the United States.

The choice was a curious one. According to the Berlin-based Regional Anti-Corruption Initiative, Albania is one of the most corrupt countries in Europe and the most corrupt in the Balkans.

It plummeted from a woeful 95 out of the 176 countries monitored in 2011, to 113 in 2012 and 116 in 2013, on their Corruption Perception Index. In their <u>end of year Report</u>, the Initiative quotes Transparency International:

"In Albania corruption is registering a new physiognomy in a favorable political environment, with characteristics like a new systems for money laundering, financing of political parties from illegal activities, the capture of the state through the control of procurement and privatization, human and narcotics trafficking and the impunity of high State officials before the justice system and the law."

A poor track record

In 2008 an explosion at an ammunition storage depot near Albania's capital Tirana, killed 26 people, wounded 300 and damaged or destroyed 5,500 homes. The disaster was said by investigators to be caused by a burning cigarette – in a depository for 1,400 tons of explosives.

Worse, when Albania was pressured to destroy its own chemical weapons stocks, some tons left over from the Cold War: "The U.S. offered to pay for their destruction and later hired some private company which destroyed the weapon capability of the chemicals but otherwise left a horrendous mess."

<u>Hazardous waste was left in containers</u>, on a concrete pad, inevitably they started to leak.

"In late 2007-early 2008, the US hired an environmental remediation firm, Savant Environmental, who determined the problem was worse than originally thought. Many of the containers were leaking salts of heavy metals, primarily arsenic, lead and mercury."

Moreover, the conexes – large, steel-reinforced shipping containers – were not waterproof, thus lethally contaminated condensation and water leakage dissolved some of the contaminants which leaked onto the ground.

"Savant Environmental repackaged the waste and placed it in twenty shipping containers. There it sits, visible from space", on the concrete pad – in the open.

But protests put an end to the matter

Quite reasonably in the circumstances, protestors against the weapons destruction took to the streets in thousands, some wearing gas masks and protective clothing, protests also took place in neighbouring Macedonia, with rallying outside the Albanian Embassy.

Albania finally rejected the weapons with Rana offering Washington a grovelling apology. But why was Albania even considered given its evident unsuitability?

It is surely coincidence that on 3rd October last year, Tony 'dodgy Iraq dossier' Blair – also an enthusiastic backer of Washington and NATO in their Balkans blitz – was appointed as advisor to the Albanian government to help the impoverished country to get in to the EU.

Heaven forbid he might have advised that taking on lethal weapons no one else was prepared to touch, might tick quite a big approval box and made a call to someone somewhere in Washington. This is of course, entirely speculation.

However, as <u>Pravda TV opined</u> at the time, apart from the sorely needed financial boost:

"It will increase the status and prestige of a poor country in Europe, Albania is in Europe's backyard, in this case it will be going foreground."

Italy, of course!

Belgium and France also declined an invitation to dispose of Syria's weapons. Ralph Trapp, a consultant in disarming chemical weapons commented that "there remain very few candidates" for the task. "The hunt continues", reported The Telegraph on 18th November 2013.

The same article also reported that Russia had ruled itself out of the running since its chemical weapons destruction facilities were already working flat out destroying its own now defunct arsenal.

Finally Italy caved in allowing around 60 containers to be transferred from a Danish cargo ship to a US ship in the Italian port of Giola Tauro, in Calabria, with further consignments also expected to arrive.

The permission caused widespread demonstrations in Southern Italy, the government accused of secrecy and one demonstrator summing up the prevailing mood:

"They are telling us that the material carried is not dangerous, but in fact nobody knows what is inside those containers."

Chaos at Giola Tauro ... and organised crime

The Giola Tauro port, which accounts for half the Calabria region's economy "has been in crisis since 2011", with 400 workers on temporary redundancies – out of a total workforce of 1,300. Not too hard to arm twist, the cynic might think.

The port also suffers from allegations of being a: "major hub for cocaine shipments to Europe by the Calabria-based 'Ndrangheta mafia." However, Domenico Bagala, head of the Medcenter / Contship terminal where the operation is planned countered with:

"Since Gioia Tauro handles around a third of the containers arriving in Italy, it is normal that it has more containers that are seized ... We operate in a difficult territory but we have hi-tech security measures in place."

Calabria is, in fact, plagued by corruption and organized crime. A classfied cable from J. Patrick Truhn, US Consul General in Naples (2ndFebruary 2008) obtained by Wikileaks revealed just how bad the situation really is.

The world's most powerful criminal organisation

"If it were not part of Italy, Calabria would be a failed state. The 'Ndrangheta organized crime syndicate controls vast portions of its territory and economy, and accounts for at least 3% of Italy's GDP (probably much more) through drug trafficking, extortion and usury."

"During a November 17-20 visit to all five provinces, virtually every interlocutor painted a picture of a region ... throttled by the iron grip of Western Europe's largest and most powerful organized crime syndicate, the 'Ndrangheta."

Moreover, records Wikipedia: "

The 'Ndrangheta is the most powerful criminal organization in the world with a revenue that stands at around 53 billion Euros."

It notes the group's operations in nine countries, on four continents.

And of course, a major activity of organised crime – in Italy and elsewhere – is the illicit disposal of hazardous wastes. Arguably, a less ideal transit point than Calabria for a stockpile of chemical weapons would be hard to find.

Of special concern to Carmelo Cozza of the SUL trade union is the port's neighbouring village of San Ferdinando which has protested the operation: "The schools are right next door!"

Syria's stolen money

However, when it comes to dodgy dealings, organized crime could seemingly learn a thing or two from the EU. Large amounts of Syria's financial assets, frozen by the European Union, have simply been spirited from accounts, in what the Syrian Foreign Ministry calls "a flagrant violation of law."

Last month the EU endorsed the raiding of Syria's financial assets frozen across Europe and the transfer of funds to the Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW)

A <u>source in Syria's Foreign Ministry</u> described this as "a flagrant violation of the international law and the UN Charter and understandings reached by the executive board of the OPCW."

He added:

"the European step violates the resolution of the OPCW executive board adopted on 15th November 2013 which acknowledged Syria's stance which was conveyed to the Organization, officially stating the inability to shoulder the financial costs of destroying the chemical weapons."

He also condemned the theft of Syria's money as a "swindle policy practiced by some influential countries inside the EU - at a time when they reject to release frozen assets to

fund purchase of food and medicine which is considered the priority of the Syrian state."

Meanwhile, he added,

"the EU allowed its members to arm the terrorist groups which are responsible for bloodshed in Syria".

What next?

So, can things get worse in the black farce which is the chaotic, dangerous, disorganised disposal attempts of Syria's chemical materials? Maybe they can.

First, the worst chemicals are to be processed by the US Navy in mid-Meditteranean using an untried, experimental method, as reported in the *New York Times*, 14th February 2014:

"The most dangerous materials are to be neutralized at sea by the Cape Ray, an American naval vessel specially outfitted for that purpose, which departed its Norfolk, Va., home port on Jan. 27 for the Mediterranean."

Next, the companies selected to destroy the chemicals are Finland's Ekokem and the US subsidiary of the French giant Veolia.

The inclusion of Veolia as a suitable partner in the whole dodgy venture is in a class of its own. The company has long been involved in waste management and vast transport projects in the illegal settlements in Israel.

Veolia - violation of international norms

In November 2012 Professor Richard Falk, UN Special Rapporteur on Palestinian human rights wrote to the North London Waste Authority, who were considering awarding £4.7 billion worth of contracts to Veolia. His letter <u>detailed his concerns</u> regarding the company's compliance with international legal norms:

"I am writing to you in my capacity as the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in the Palestinian territories occupied since 1967 to urge you not to select Veolia for public contracts due to its active involvement in Israel's grave violations of international law.

"Due to its deep and ongoing complicity with Israeli violations of international law and the strength of concern of Palestinian, European and Israeli civil society about the role played by Veolia, I decided to select Veolia as one of the case studies to include in my report. I have attached the report for your consideration.

"Veolia is a signatory to the UN Global Compact, a set of principles regarding business conduct. Yet its wide ranging and active involvement in Israel's settlement regime and persistent failure to exercise due diligence show utter disregard for the human rights related principles of the Global Compact.

"It is my view that Veolia's violations of the UN Global Compact principles and its deep and protracted complicity with grave breaches of international law make it an inappropriate partner for any public institution, especially as a provider of public services."

Professor Falk concludes:

"I urge you to follow the example set by public authorities and European banks that have chosen to disassociate themselves from Veolia and take the just and principled decision not to award Veolia any public service contracts. Such a measure would contribute to upholding the rule of law and advancing peace based on justice."

So now a company is being awarded a contract to a UN body – the OPCW – in spite of being condemned by a distinguished UN legal expert and UN Special Rapporteur for its "deep and protracted complicity with grave breaches of international law" – including repeated violations of UN Security Council resolutions.

Israel now has WMD supremacy in the Middle East

The final anomaly is that the destruction of Syria's chemical weapons creates a significant power imbalance in the Middle East – in Israel's favour, as possessor of a <u>formidable chemical</u>, <u>biological and nuclear</u> arsenal.

Israel being, incidentally, a non-signatory of both the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty and the Biological Weapons Convention, and a signatory but non-ratifier of the Chemical Weapons Convention.

It is acknowledged that Syria's purpose in building up its chemical arsenal was a deterrent one, aimed at its hostile neighbour Israel. Syria's rationale was that its chemical capability could inflict unacceptable losses on Israel in the event of an attack.

But now with the removal and destruction of Syria's chemical weapons, Israel rules the Middle East supreme, its WMD capability intact. This was pointed out by Bob Rigg – former UN weapons inspector in Iraq, former senior editor for the OCPW and former Chair of the New Zealand National Consultative Committee on Disarmament:

"At present, Israel has a monopoly on nuclear weapons in the Middle East. Once the destruction of Syria's chemical weapons is complete, Israel will enjoy a near regional monopoly over a second weapon of mass destruction – chemical weapons. In addition to Israel, Egypt is the only regional power with a chemical weapons capability."

He only forgot the mention that Israel also has a long-standing monopoly on biological WMD in the Middle East.

At all levels, law breakers rule supreme.

*

This article was originally published on <u>The Ecologist</u> on March 13, 2014.

Felicity Arbuthnot is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization and Associate Editor of Global Research.

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Felicity Arbuthnot

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca