

Those Condemning Syria Have Themselves Recently Used Chemical Weapons

By Washington's Blog

Global Research, April 13, 2017

Washington's Blog 12 April 2017

Region: <u>Middle East & North Africa</u> Theme: <u>Crimes against Humanity</u>, <u>Militarization and WMD</u>, <u>US NATO War</u>

Agenda

In-depth Report: Depleted Uranium, SYRIA

The Trump administration accuses the Syrian government of using chemical weapons, and claims this is a rare occurrence from a roque government.

Not only did Sean Spicer <u>say</u> that Hitler never used chemical weapons, but Secretary of Defense Mattis <u>said</u>:

Even in World War II chemical weapons were not used on battlefields. Even in World War II, chemical weapons were not used on battlefields. Even in the Korean War, they were not used on battlefields.

Since World War I there's been an international convention on this.

But U.S. used chemical weapons against civilians in Iraq in 2004. Evidence <u>here</u>, <u>here</u>, <u>here</u>, <u>here</u>, <u>here</u>, <u>here</u>, <u>here</u>. The use of those weapons <u>greatly increased</u> the <u>rate of birth defects</u>.

The U.S. <u>armed and supported Iraq after it invaded Iran</u> and engaged in a long, bloody war which included the use of chemical weapons. The U.S. <u>provided chemical weapons to Saddam Hussein</u> to use against Iran.

Here is former Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld meeting with Saddam in the 1980's, several months after Saddam had used chemical weapons in a massacre:

Moreover, the U.S. and Britain have been dropping depleted uranium in virtually every country they fight, which causes severe health problems. See <u>this</u>, <u>this</u> and <u>this</u>.

University of California at Irvine professor of Middle Eastern history Mark LeVine writes:

Not only did the US aid the use of chemical weapons by the former Iraqi government, it also used chemical weapons on a large scale during its 1991 and 2003 invasions of Iraq, in the form of depleted-uranium (DU) ammunition.

As Dahr Jamail's <u>reporting</u> for Al Jazeera has shown, the use of DU by the US and UK has very likely been the cause not only of many cases of Gulf War

Syndrome suffered by Iraq war veterans, but also of thousands of instances of birth defects, cancer and other diseases – causing a "large-scale public health disaster" and the "highest rate of genetic damage in any population ever studied" – suffered by Iraqis in areas subjected to frequent and intense attacks by US and allied occupation forces.

And Israel has been accused of using depleted uranium in Syria.

Israeli also <u>used white phosphorous</u> in 2009 during "Operation Cast Lead" (and <u>perhaps subsequently</u>). Israel ratified Protocol III of Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons ("Protocol III") – which outlaws the use of incendiary devices in war – in <u>2007</u>. So this was a war crime.

Moreover, the 1925 Geneva Protocol (which is different from Protocol III) prohibits "the use in war of asphyxiating, poisonous or other gases".

The use of White phosphorus ("WP") may also be a war crime under other international treaties and domestic U.S. laws. For example, the Battle Book, published by the U.S. Command and General Staff College at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, contains the following sentence: "It is against the law of land warfare to employ WP against personnel targets."

The U.S. National Safety Council states that <u>"White phosphorus is a poison . . . If its combustion occurs in a confined space, white phosphorus will remove the oxygen from the air and render the air unfit to support life . . . It is considered a dangerous disaster hazard because it emits highly toxic fumes". The EPA has listed white phosphorus as a <u>Hazardous Air Pollutant</u>.</u>

Indeed, it is interesting to note that the U.S. previously called white phosphorous a <u>chemical</u> weapon when Saddam used it against the Kurds.

And Saudi Arabia is *currently* using <u>U.S. supplied white phosphorous</u> in Yemen.

It is therefore hypocritical for the U.S., Britain or Israel to say that we should bomb Syria because the government allegedly used chemical weapons.

Postscript: Ironically, many intelligence and military officials say that Syrian government <u>did</u> <u>not use</u> chemical weapons.

On the other hand, <u>two Turkish Parliament members said</u> that *Turkey* provided chemical weapons to Islamic jihadists for the 2013 chemical weapons attack which was blamed on the Syrian government.

And see this.

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Washington's Blog

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca