

US Ignorance: The Geopolitics of India-China Relations and Delhi's "Balancing Act" in the New Cold War

By Andrew Korybko

Global Research, April 14, 2023

Region: <u>Asia</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

It's categorically false and offensive of Rep. Jake Auchincloss to imply that India is China's junior partner by dint of supposedly being "plugged into the CCP's operating system", especially when those two are presently in a very dangerous standoff all across the Himalayas.

Moreover, it isn't truly the case that India is "an aggravating third party factor" when it comes to the US' interests since those two share concerns about China's rise and are actively working together in many dimensions to manage it.

Jake Auchincloss, a member of the bipartisan "US House of Representatives Select Committee on the Strategic Competition Between the US and the Chinese Communist Party", expressed an embarrassing level of ignorance when it comes to India's balancing act in the New Cold War. He demanded that that this historically neutral country be "plugged into the US operating system, not the CCP's operating system", and condemned it for supposedly being "an aggravating third party factor."

His <u>neo-colonial</u> demand and counterfactual assessment of its relations with the US confirm that this declining unipolar hegemon continues operating under the influence of false notions when it comes to their bilateral ties. Instead of appreciating its <u>rapid rise</u> as the <u>informal leader</u> of the <u>Global South</u> amidst the <u>impending trifurcation of International Relations</u>, America continues its <u>doomed campaign</u> to <u>pressure India</u>into unilaterally sacrificing its objective interests in order to serve its own.

It's categorically false and quite frankly offensive of him to imply that India is China's junior

partner by dint of supposedly being "plugged into the CCP's operating system", especially when those two are presently in a <u>very dangerous standoff</u> all across the Himalayas. Moreover, it isn't truly the case that India is "an aggravating third party factor" when it comes to the US' interests since those two share concerns about China's rise and are actively working together in many dimensions to manage it.

What appears to have triggered Auchincloss' rant is India's proud refusal to voluntarily submit itself to becoming his country's largest-ever vassal state, which angers America's neo-colonial policymakers to no end. This likely explains why he lost control and started spewing a bunch of easily debunked falsehoods. By doing so, however, he exposed just how delusional his country's understanding of India's balancing act is. This extends credence to rising concerns that the US cannot be considered a reliable partner.

To the contrary, for as much as those two cooperate in managing China's rise, the US will seemingly never stop pressuring India to become its junior partner. This is an extremely disrespectful stance that stands in stark contrast to Russia's sincere respect of its decadeslong strategic partner's multi-alignment policy. Not once has the Kremlin ever put forth the demands that the US just did through Auchincloss, which proves that the latter's so-called "rules-based order" concept is chock-full of contradictions.

Instead of upholding international law and treating all countries as equals like they deserve, this notion is exploited as the basis upon which to arbitrarily impose double standards designed to advance America's interests. In this particular case, the US regularly warns that the <u>Sino-Russo Entente</u> supposedly wants to coerce other countries into vassalhood status, while that's precisely what Auchincloss just candidly demanded of India upon declaring that it be "plugged into the US' operating system".

In reality, the only "operating system" that India is "plugged into" is its own, exactly as it should be. No country should ever subserviate themselves to any other, nor should any country ever demand that they do. Auchincloss' rant shows just how embarrassingly ignorant the US remains of India's balancing act in the New Cold War, which doesn't bode well for the future of bilateral relations since it very strongly suggests that America will continue pressuring that country into surrendering its strategic autonomy.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on <u>Andrew Korybko's Newsletter</u>.

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from the author

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Andrew Korybko

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca