

The 22 July Massacre in Norway was Fake

part 5



What is history? The lie that everyone agrees on.
—Voltaire

by Aurora Alberios

First published February 21, 2020

This is a follow-up paper to the series of papers that Miles wrote on the Norway massacre, which purpose is to provide a wider context for the 22nd of July events in 2011. In Norway, the Breivik massacre is simply known as 22/7 or 22nd of July. People will just give the date and everyone knows what you mean. Since we don't have too many terrorist events in this country, 22/7 came as a shock to people and many used the word surreal to describe it. Such things happen in American cities or London or Paris and not here. Most people think of Norway as a quiet and peaceful corner of the world where nothing much happens and so 22/7 stood out as an oddity, something that didn't fit in with the rest. We learned from Miles' papers that this didn't start in 2011 as we could follow the lines from several central figures of the event all the way back to WW2. In this essay, I will provide some extra background material that is less accessible. I won't focus on just one event or person, rather I will try to weave a tapestry within which 22/7 will seem like less of an oddity.


While most people who have looked at this event managed to spot the fake victims of the Oslo bombings, very few except for Miles, Cluesforum and some obscure blogs have suggested that the Island shootings were also faked. I am guessing Cluesforum and the others are controlled opposition as they don't really give us any good clues. Given all the fakery that has been going on before, I think the burden of the evidence is on the people screaming murder. None of us have actually witnessed

¹From the top left: Vidkun Abraham Lauritz Jonssøn Quisling (see [Miles' paper](#)); Anders Behring Breivik; election campaign poster for the Labour Party from 1945. From bottom left: coat of arms for the Norwegian military intelligence services; Oslo bombing victims Sissel Wilsgård and Line Nersnæs on 22 of July 2011; Utøya island, the place where Breivik shot participants at the Workers' Youth League summer camp. The rose: symbol of the Norwegian Labour Party.

these murders, and I would like to remark that contrary to common practice, no images of dead bodies were shown in court. Instead the lead coroner, Mr. Rognum, used a [doll](#) to explain the wounds of the victims. This was allegedly done to spare everyone the gruesome experience it would be to have seen these images. We are told, though, that images of the deceased were available to those involved in the court case. To my knowledge, there is no video online that contains the sound of the gun shots on the island even though some videos were recorded from the mainland during the event. They claim to have silenced the shots in some and in others there appear to be no shots for reasons unknown. We have seen from a distance what is claimed to be dead people lying on the Utøya island. So, what proof do we have for murder other than people's word for it?

We have seen pictures of some of the injuries of those who survived the shootings, but I am personally not convinced those depict gunshot wounds. Look at Viljar Hanssen [in Miles' paper](#) who was shot close to his right eye and look at [Ylva Schwenke](#) who was shot at the side of her neck. Miss Schwenke has stated in a video [interview online](#) that her tracheotomy scar is from when she was 12 and so unrelated to the island shootings. They claim Breivik used expanding dum-dum bullets and so that is supposedly why Schwenke's wound looks like it does. The surgeon who was on duty at Ringerike hospital on the night of the shootings, Colin Poole, [said he](#) had treated many gunshot wounds before, but never seen anything like the wounds made by Breivik's bullets. Being a hunter, he said he was used to dum-dum bullets, but that these dum-dum bullets were of a type that only some special forces in foreign countries were using and not for sale in Norway. Colin Poole sadly [died](#) in 2014 at the age of 58 while he was skiing in Chamonix. I wonder where Mr. Poole had treated so many gunshot wounds as I find it hard to believe that Ringerike hospital would receive many of them. One may wonder if Mr. Poole has served as a surgeon in the army.

Ringerike hospital is close to Utøya island and received 35 victims, of which 16 had gunshot wounds. Mr. [Poole says](#) that even though some of the patients should have been sent to larger hospitals in Oslo with more expertise in trauma surgery, the shortest road connection to Oslo had been closed at Sollihøgda (see map) by the police and so they wouldn't be able to transport the wounded to Oslo. According to Poole, taking the longer route around the lake would take too long for these patients.



Wouldn't it be faster and safer to transport the wounded with helicopters? Apparently only the media had helicopters on that day, and neither the police, the military nor the hospitals seemed to have any. The road was closed on both sides of Utøya so that the police could secure the area, which means that the area was under tight control, even with regards to ambulances getting in or through.

At Utøya, 69 were shot dead (8 from Oslo adding up to 77 dead in total), while 33 were injured by gunshot wounds. That is a death rate of 2:1, which I would guess even is high for a professional shooting at moving targets. Breivik shot 69 dead and wounded 33 by gunshot between 17.21 and 18.34, which equates to a death rate of almost 1 per minute. Out of the 69 who died at Utøya, 50+ died of gunshots to the head. Breivik must have been a professional sniper, but [according to his step-dad](#), major Tore Tellefsen, Breivik was so inept that he had given up trying to teach him how to drive. I am guessing it is easier to fake deaths than injuries, as we have seen from the injured persons we've already met including Ylva Schwenke, Viljar Hanssen and Tarjei Jensen Bech (all from Utøya), not to forget Line Nærnes and Sissel Wilsgård from Oslo ([see Miles' paper](#)). All these people cause suspicion as their injuries look fake or wrong, nor do their stories match their injuries. I think it is very hard to say what actually took place on the island that evening. One possibility is perhaps that someone went around shooting with blanks. In this scenario there were a lot of kids on the island who weren't in on it, while only a few were part of it and faked their deaths or injuries. The other scenario is that everyone there was in on it, in which case there had to be a lot fewer there than what is claimed. As Miles pointed out, it's hard to imagine that there were 586 persons on the island during the event as it seems too small for that. Personally, I think that if you are going to arrange something like the Utøya shootings, you'd want to be in control of the entire event and that means no one except for insiders will be allowed to be there. The uninitiated may do something to interrupt the event and/or contradict the official narrative afterwards.

Some of the people present at Utøya that failed to convince us of the authenticity of the event have already been mentioned, but I will continue with a few others who just add to the failure. At the end of [this newspaper article](#) one finds a video that starts off with someone on the mainland next to Utøya claiming he is hearing shots coming from Utøya and then we see what appears to be someone swimming in the water, but we don't hear the shots. Then the video continues with an interview with two young boys who were present at Utøya during the shootings and hid underneath a building. From their hiding place they recorded some video we are shown in the above linked newspaper article. Both of them have foreign names and one of them uses the words Insha'Allah, which makes us think he is a Muslim. During the recordings from Utøya they say "We are one of the few who remain at Utøya. This is totally sick. I hear shots everywhere. I am scared of everything." At this point Breivik has already been captured, and so why they use the present tense about hearing shots is not clear. How they can know they are one of the few who remain at Utøya is also strange. They were part of a camp that consisted of 586 participants and so they allegedly thought most of those were dead. Anzor Djoukaev goes on to describe that there was wind, rain and that they heard thunder, shots and screams. Problem is that there shouldn't have been any thunder at Utøya around the time of the shootings as one can observe in the [historic records of lightning](#) for this area. There had been lightnings earlier in the day close to Utøya, but at the time of the shootings the thunderstorm had moved too far away to be heard at Utøya. The video recording from Utøya continues with Anzor saying that "we are one of the few who have survived the massacre at Utøya. Fuck, do you love life or, man." The other guy, Almir Vranesi, says that before hiding he had escaped in panic away from Breivik by jumping out of a window 5 meters above ground and then continued running away. It sounds strange that he wasn't injured if the height was really that high. Almir continues saying that his brother and cousin were also present at Utøya and he had called them to make sure they were OK. First, it's interesting to note his relatives are there and second, it's strange that he thinks it's a good idea to call people he knows are hiding from a mass murderer during a massacre. I assume people hiding from a mass murderer are often in shock and might not be able to call anyone nor make phone video recordings, but these guys were, and they don't seem too emotionally affected by what they talk about during the interview either. Anyone reassured about the realness of the event by these guys?

Just for fun I searched for Utøya or 22/7 AND 33 or 47 and found: 33 dead under 18; 33 of the survivors want a seat in the parliament; hospitals paid 33 million NOK for 22/7; limit of [economic compensation](#) for victims is 4.7 million NOK; 47 hid and survived in one building at Utøya. Notice

these are headlines and not numbers buried within an article and it doesn't work with random numbers.

At the university hospital in Oslo where they have a dedicated trauma unit, they received 31 patients on the night of the event, 30 of which survived. The trauma team on duty on the night of 22/7 was led by surgeons [Tina Gaarder and Pål Aksel Næss](#), who were also husband and wife. Tina Gaarder has a PhD in trauma science, has been deployed twice with the Armed Forces and has been involved in military trauma training since 1997. Her husband, Pål Aksel Næss, has seemingly [also been deployed](#) with the Armed Forces in Afghanistan where he served as a surgeon.

I am making a note of this, that key people are connected to the military. Even so, how could they possibly manage to fake so many injuries within a hospital filled with nurses, cleaners and other physicians who presumably didn't all work for the military? An interesting statement comes from the head nurse on duty on the night of the event, a Mr. Jens Christian Holmberg, who said that while a trauma event normally activates a team of 7-13 persons, the 22/7 the terror event qualified as a special event and a [special trauma procedure](#) was activated where treatment teams were organized in small units consisting of one surgeon, one anesthetist and one anaesthetical nurse who would follow-up their dedicated patient across all hospital departments. So only a limited number of people would have contact with each patient. The cleaner on duty, a Mr. Dahir Ahmed Haji, is [reported](#) to have had the operating rooms cleaned and ready for every new patient that arrived. So, he must have been very busy doing all the cleaning by himself that evening? I think they sometimes inadvertently phrase things in a way that is revealing instead of thinking it better through to fit the plotline. This one person couldn't have been involved in cleaning the room of "every new patient that arrived" as there were 31 on them coming in at pretty much the same time I would imagine.

We can even tie some of the rescuers on the shore next to Utøya to the Armed Forces. Right across the shore from Utøya there is a camping site and one of the guests staying there on the night of the event was a German guy living in Norway named Marcel Gleffe. He was there on holiday with his [7 family members](#) (so, that makes a family of [8](#)) at the campsite next to Utøya. From Wikipedia we learn that Gleffe had a military career in the [German Armed Forces](#) where he made the rank of Haputgefreiter. He was later rewarded with both German and Norwegian honor medals for having rescued 20 kids out from the water and into his boat.

Remember from [Miles' paper](#) that the ferry trafficking between the mainland and Utøya had escaped from the island and had deliberately been run aground. If I remember correctly, the reason that was given in the papers for this utterly bizarre action was that they feared more terrorists would come and ran the ferry aground to prevent other terrorists from reaching the island. Let's imagine they hadn't done this and instead they just went back to the mainland where they waited for the emergency



services. That would have meant that all emergency services arriving at the shore next to Utøya would quickly have been able to cross over to the island. Instead we had the [farce](#) with the special police force Delta in the rubber boat that was too small for the [11](#) police men onboard. I am guessing they removed this boat as another way to slow things down and prevent anyone not part of the operation getting to the island. Anyway, at the mainland, the Utøya ferry gets

help from Even Frogg and his brother who both lived on the shore next to Utøya. In [this article](#) Even Frogg is photographed wearing his army clothes as he was heading off to be deployed with the Armed Forces in Afghanistan right after the event. Previously, he had been deployed with the Armed Forces both in Afghanistan and Kosovo.



[Jørn Øverby](#) (image left) also lived on the shore next to Utøya and says he rescued 25-30 youths from the waters outside Utøya. He is reported to be a mechanic, and in a documentary that ran on Norwegian TV about the Utøya survivor Ylva Schwenke, he says he was educated as a weapon's mechanic in the army (nabo = neighbor, våpen = weapon, Forsvaret = Armed Forces).

There were allegedly many more rescuers on the shore next to Utøya who either lived there or were on the camping site, but I can't tie them all to the Army, nor do we know the names of all of them. We do know the [names of 19](#) who received the medal for heroic action given out by the Norwegian Maritime Authority. Funny enough, since 52 were nominated for this award, 33 nominees were left without any, which the [newspaper article](#) finds important enough to make a point of. I would argue, though, that some of the most profiled rescuers have admitted ties to the army. Given the size of the military budgets, this should perhaps come as no surprise as the army employs a lot of people, and some of them just happened to be involved in this event. Right?

[Miles pointed out](#) (on page 5) that one of the reasons for faking such an event could be to boost military spending. As we all know there is a large private defense industry supplying the armies of the world with all kinds of expensive weapons, ships, planes, submarines and other military equipment. In Norway the [lobby organization for 130 companies](#) interested in selling such equipment to the Norwegian military is called "Forsvarets og sikkerhetsindustriens Forening" (FSi). They report that their member companies employ more than 25 000 personnel (5000 man-years) and have a yearly turnover of 12 billion Norwegian kroner (NOK) (=1.5 billion EUR / 2 billion USD) per year. 4.7 billion out of the 12 billion are exports, but still the Norwegian government presumably pays for a substantial proportion of the remaining 7.3 billion NOK. In addition, Norway spends a lot on buying equipment from foreign defense companies. For instance, Norway recently bought 52 F-35 fighter jets from Lockheed-Martin that each were priced around 150 million USD and would have a total life-time cost of 268 billion NOK = 31 billion USD.



This purchase was the [largest](#) Norwegian investment in domestic assets ever made (the Norwegian oil fund has invested for a lot more than that, but not domestically, which they have forbidden by law). Italy bought 100 (!) of these fighter jets even though they were hit hard by the financial crisis and had to make budget cuts. If you're broke, that's what you do, right, you buy super expensive military equipment. The F-35 fighter jet program funded by the USA and other NATO countries is the largest and most expensive military program ever and was

estimated to have a life-time cost of 1.5 trillion USD. This program was controversial in Norway as well as in the USA and other NATO countries because of the high cost. As Miles mentioned, creating an atmosphere of fear and insecurity is part of the business plan of this industry. Their only customers are the national governments, but in turn these are wealthy customers since they prey on taxpayers, even on those not born yet through debt. All it takes is to convince people that danger lurks and that the old planes have caught rust or something rendering them completely useless.

Every year, FSi holds a conference called INFO/ERFA where they invite government and army officials who will get to meet their members and sponsors. In April of 2011, The Labour Party politician Roger Ingebrigtsen, who was state secretary in the defense department under Stoltenberg from 2010-2012, [opened](#) the FSi conference. Later that year he [warned against delaying](#) the purchase of the American fighter jets, claiming that Norway would be without functional fighter jets without this purchase. This was as mentioned a controversial purchase due to the price tag and parliament had to allocate the money by majority vote. **Ylva Schwenke, who we have already met twice in this paper, is by the way the stepdaughter of Roger Ingebrigtsen.** In 2020, as well as the three previous years, the FSi has held their lobby conference at Sundvolden Hotel, which is incidentally the place where they brought all the youths from Utøya who didn't need medical care, and where they also set up a headquarter for the emergency services. Utøya and Sundvolden Hotel are as Miles mentioned not far from the war headquarter in Norway called "[Sentralanlegget](#)".

One of the questions I asked myself regarding 22nd of July was why the Labour Party was involved, what is so special about the Labour Party? We know that Breivik's terror targeted the Labour Party as he bombed governmental buildings in Oslo and shot kids at the Youth Worker's League camp taking place at Utøya. We are to believe that the attack was motivated by Breivik's hatred for the policies of this party. In Breivik's mind, the Labour Party was responsible for a white genocide and the Islamisation of Europe through its liberal immigration policies. Breivik described the Labour Party ideology as cultural-Marxism and wanted it supplanted by nationalism, Christian values and a free market economy. In stark contrast to the very pro-Palestine Labour Party, Breivik was anti Palestine and pro-Israel. He was in many ways the classic right-wing extremist who identified with Nazism and gave a Nazi salute every time he entered into court. According to the official story, Breivik's hatred of the Labour Party was so strong that he killed **77** people and injured 200. So. . . it is only logical that he should request to have a member of the Labour Party as his main defense attorney, right? Remember Geir Lippestad, Breivik's lead attorney? He is a member of the Labour Party, has been deputy leader of Nordstrand Labour Party and was city council of industry and ownership in Oslo under the Labour Party lead coalition of mayor Raymond Johansen. Today, he still serves as a Labour Party representative in the



city council of Oslo. It was known that he had some issues with taking on the Breivik case due to his ties to the Labour Party, but that isn't the most pertinent issue, which is rather to answer why in the world

Breivik would want this Labour Party member as his lawyer? Are we to believe Breivik didn't know Lippestad was a member of the Labour Party or that he wouldn't find out? The reason that is given for why Breivik wanted Lippestad as his lawyer is that Breivik once had an office in the same building as Lippestad. Yeah, as if that sounds like a reasonable explanation.

Breivik criticized the judge, Wenche Elisabeth Arntzen, for being friends with former minister of justice Hanne Harlem, who is the sister of former Labour Party prime minister, Gro Harlem Brundtland. At the same time, Breivik didn't care that his main defense attorney was a Labour Party member. So, both the judge and the lead defense attorney have close and direct links to the Labour Party? Additionally, both parents of Breivik appear to have been supportive of the Labour Party. Breivik's father, Jens Breivik, the diplomat, was a member of the Labour Party's Worker's Youth League when he was young and his mother was, although allegedly not voting, reported to be



generally pleased with the way the Labour Party was governing the country. Breivik was surrounded by Labour Party supporters. So this is more indication Miles is right an that he is an actor.

Before we pull any further at the Labour Party thread, let me just digress briefly to mention something curious that I found out. In a place called Arendal on the south-eastern coast of Norway, there is an annual political

festival called “Arendalsuka”. Arendal is incidentally the place where the Labour Party was founded back in 1887. Since 2012, this weeklong political festival has been staging political debates and seminars. For the past 4 years, the Norwegian Bar Association has conducted show trials at this festival. One mock trial was about distributing sexualized content online and another was about hate speech. One of Breivik’s judges, Wenche Elisabeth Arntzen, [participated](#) playing the role of judge in a mock trial about hate speech. Breivik’s prosecutor, [Inga Bejer Engh](#), has also taken part in this, and so has one of the other lawyers involved in the Breivik case. In Norway, like in many other European countries, freedom of speech is restricted. The term “hate speech” is actually used in the law text and can be used to legally prosecute “hateful expressions” that target persons based on ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation or disability. Norway is not alone in having [hate-speech laws](#). A special addition to this law is in many countries made about anti-semitism and in some countries, this specifically ncludes [denying holocaust](#). One may ask why they stage such mock trials and specifically why they stage one about hate speech. I also find it disturbing to see real lawyers together with professional actors pretend as if they are part of a real trial. What would be the difference for these people faking this trial and faking one they pretend is real? To me, it seems as if they are condoning fake trials as propaganda or “education”, as I am guessing they would call it.

Another Labour Party member we met in [Miles’ paper](#) was the alleged KGB spy, Arne Treholt. He ties into this case as the parents of the judges Lyng and Arntzen were the defense attorneys of Arne Treholt. Remember also from Miles’s paper that judge Wenche Elisabeth Arntzen’s grandfather was Sven Arntzen who was state prosecutor on the Quisling trial. Remember that we learned a lot about Quisling, the WW2 traitor who worked for Nazi Germany before in [Miles’ paper](#). At Geni, one can trace Sven Arntzen’s lines back all the way to the Viking kings. Judge Arne Lyng’s grandfather was Johan Daniel [Fürstenberg](#) Lyng. He also participated in some of the cases against WW2 traitors in capacity of being a supreme court lawyer. Johan Lyng was also for a short period the prime minister of Norway representing the political party Right. The father of Arne Treholt was former Labour Party minister of agriculture, Thorstein Treholt.

Miles: that Furstenberg link is a biggie, and I am sorry I missed it. We have seen the Furstenbergs in several previous papers, linking us to huge wealth, the banks, and the fake Nazis. The Furstenbergs are old crypto-Jewish German nobles from Westphalia and Swabia. They are barons back to 1660 and were prince-bishops before that. They descend from Berthold V Herzog, Duke of Zahringen around 1200. They also descend from the Hohenstaufen dynasty, which not only ruled the Holy Roman Empire at its greatest extent after 1150, but also ruled Sicily and Jerusalem. So. . . our Phoenicians again.

Arne Treholt used to work as state secretary under Jens Evensen, who was Labour Party minister of trade and sea rights without actually being a member of the Labour Party. Jens Evensen was one of the main architects behind the negotiations that lead to Norway being able to legally claim offshore rights of 200 nautical miles, which is where all our oil and gas reserves are. Evensen was also prosecutor of a case against Quisling that sought to find the assets that Quisling allegedly stole during

the war. It feels a bit like going in circles with this Quisling name popping up all the time. At least it reassures me I am circling the same black hole.



Jens Evensen is also famous for having negotiated the deal between Norway and Russia about a disputed area in the Barents Sea, called Gråsonen (the grey zone). For a long time, Norway and the Soviet Union could not decide upon where the border line between them should be in the Barents Sea. The area had a lot of fish and also oil and gas. The strange thing about this case was that Norway with Evensen as its representative and negotiator had initially taken a firm stance of the midline principle, essentially drawing a line in the middle of the area, while Soviet had their own principle, which they called the sector principle. By the sector principle the Soviets would get more than what the Norwegian were prepared to give way to. I watched a documentary about this and couldn't get my head around that in the end the Soviets ended up getting even more than they had asked for. The deal came as a shock to the oppositional politicians and was described as terrible and harmful to Norwegian interests. This bizarrely bad deal was explained by Arne Treholt being a spy for the Soviet Union. By showing the Russians the Norwegian meeting minutes, Treholt had given the Soviets unfair advantages in the negotiations. Yeah, armed with this information all Evensen could do would be to say yes to whatever the Russians asked for. Evensen never really got blamed for this, nor was he ever accused of treason. Right after Treholt had been accused of treason, Evensen got a job on the international court of justice in Hague and stayed there until retirement. This is what they do with people who have just done something highly illogical and probably criminal, they send them away so everyone will forget they ever existed. Treholt becomes the scapegoat and eye-candy who everyone's eyes are on, while the real culprit escapes the country. So, let's focus on Evensen.

At Evensen's Wikipedia page we learn that he served in the Norwegian resistance movement during WW2 where his specialty was to forge identity papers. In 1947, he was given a scholarship by the Rockefeller foundation to study in the US at Harvard and finished his PhD there in 1968.

Apart from Jens Evensen being the son of a wealthy butcher and wholesaler, there isn't much to find in his [family tree](#) at Geni. His wife was [Sylvei Brun Lie](#) who was the daughter of Johannes Lie and Valgjerd **Barratt** **Abrahamsen**. The name Barrat is interesting as it isn't typically Norwegian, and I also know there is a famous musical school in Norway with the name "[Barrat Due](#)". From [MyHeritage](#), I learn that the parents of Valgjerd Randi Barrat Lie (nee Abrahamsen) were named Arent Reinhardt Abrahamsen and Louisa **Ball**. The musical school that I mentioned above was founded by Mary Barratt Due and Henrik Due. Mary Barratt Due was the daughter of Thomas **Ball**




Barratt. My best guess is that Evensen's wife, Sylvei Brun Lie is related to Thomas Ball Barratt since she is both a Ball and a Barratt. So, who is this Thomas Ball Barratt? According to [Wikipedia](#), he was the man who brought the Pentecostal movement to Norway. **Remember, the Balls link us to George Washington, and Abrahamsen is of course a Jewish name.**

The Pentecostal people are the ones who speak or babble incoherently in what they call [tongue speak](#), which I don't read as a good sign. What an attractive feature for a religious movement, I feel like I should join right away and learn gibberish. Do you want to try to guess the first name of the guy who founded the Pentecostal movement in Sweden? **Benny** or **Bjørn** (like in **ABBA**) you say? No, no, no, it was of course **Lewi** Pethrus. So, Jewish again. Thomas Ball Barratt had moved from Cornwall to Norway with his parents because his father

Aleksander Barratt, a devoted Methodist, was going to be the CEO of a sulphur mine at Varaldsøy in Hardanger.

Like his father, the Methodist mining CEO, Thomas Ball Barrett also becomes a devoted Methodist. In Oslo, he organizes what is claimed to be a very popular Methodist community. This community is so popular that Thomas Ball Barratt decides to go to the USA to collect money for constructing an additional building for the Methodists who are in need of more space. He travels to New York in 1906 and rents a room in Manhattan. I am wondering how that works, going to NY to collect money. What was he going to do, play the piano? He was very musical and so that could be possible, but we don't get told at Wiki how this fundraising took place. Another theory, and more plausible I would guess, could be that he had rich relatives in New York that were going to give him money.

While in Manhattan, Ball reads the magazine *The Apostolic Faith* where they have an article about the [Azusa street revival](#). Oh how Mr. Ball had longed for an experience such as they described in the paper, Wikipedia says.



In downtown LA, in Azusa Street, an African-American man named William Joseph **Seymour** was, according to the magazine article, speaking in tongue and working miracles all day long. From Seymour's [Wiki page](#) I learn that he was born in Acadiana in Louisiana to the emancipated slaves Simon and Phyllis Salabar Seymour. Phyllis Seymour had been owned by the plantation owner Adllard **Carlin**. In 1905, Seymour attends a ten-week long course at Bethel Bible College in Houston, Texas, which was run by Charles **Fox** Parham, the guy who first invented tongue speak.

I am sure I have seen the names Seymour and Fox before, but my memory isn't too good. What is it Miles does again? He goes to the thepeerage.com and then he searches for the surnames. Definitely found a lot of Foxes in the peerage, 1057 of them. Parham is also listed in in the Peerage as having 7 listings. For Seymour, there are 959 listings. OK, there are a lot of these Seymours and Foxes in the British aristocracy. What about Seymour-Fox or Fox-Seymour? I bet these aristocrats are all related to

each other. There is a [memorial foundation](#) named Seymour Fox after an American attorney named simply Seymour Fox. Isn't Seymour a last name? These people don't need a first name? I guess it's the same with Graham Hancock and Harrison Ford. There is also the Seymour Fox School of Education in the Hebrew School of Jerusalem. This school was named after [Seymour \(Shlomo\) Fox](#). By accident I found that the [duke of Somerset](#) was named Seymour. Oh, I just remembered, the most famous Seymour must be Jane Seymour, one of the wives of the crazy king, Henry VIII. Jane Seymour was the sister of the first Duke of Somerset, Edward Seymour. I knew I had seen the name Seymour before. It's probably in several of Miles' papers. Strange to find a freed slave with this surname, huh? **George Fox founded the Quakers. The Seymours were Dukes, closely related to the Stewarts. So. . . the Phoenicians again!**

OK, but is this William Joseph Seymour and Charles Fox Parham related to the peerage Foxes and Seymours? Let's check on Geni. I can't find anything for William Joseph Seymour as he doesn't seem to have a Geni page. Charles Fox Parham [does](#), and we learn that his mother's name was Eckel, which I think is a Jewish surname. His maternal grandfather was **Levi** Eckel. I can't find out where the name Fox comes from though, which was the name I was most interested in. This is where Miles finds all the little alleyways around the incomplete genealogies, but which I find hard to find.

What I deduce so far though, is that this first preacher of Pentecostalism and the one to introduce tongue speak was in reality Jewish, which should raise some eyebrows. If you've read a lot of Miles' work, you will not be surprised to learn that Fox Parham was also a member of Ku Klux Klan. Just par for the course, as Miles would say. One may wonder why this Thomas Ball Barratt introduced Pentecostalism in Norway. I don't tend to believe the story that he was simply convinced by a magazine article. It is claimed that shortly after reading the magazine article, while he was still in the USA, Thomas Ball Barratt experienced to be baptized with the holy spirit and suddenly became able to speak in tongue, in several languages. So, one can separate one gibberish language from another, amazing. When Ball came back to Norway, people unsurprisingly made fun of him, but this didn't stop him from establishing Pentecostalism in Norway. I think an alternative reading would be that he was acting on behalf of his American connections who were probably also funding him and the establishment of Pentecostalism in Norway.

The names Ball and Barratt are both listed in the British peerage. There are indeed some famous Balls, such as president [George Washington](#) whose mother was Mary Ball and then there is Lucille Ball who is also [related to George Washington](#). However, to make any connections to Thomas Ball Barratt is difficult as the Geni [family tree](#) of Thomas Ball Barratt is rather slim. If we search on Barratt AND Cornwall, which is where he was born, we find a Baronet [Francis Layland-Barratt](#) who was high sheriff and member of parliament for the Liberal Party. Thomas Ball Barratt's mother was Mary Ball (same name as the mother of George Washington) whose father was Captain George Ball. The Ball Barratt family was involved with mining in Cornwall, and so this is presumably why Thomas Ball Barratt's father, Aleksander Barratt comes to Norway to lead a Sulphur mine. Mining and a weird version of Christianity. Hmm, what could it mean? I guess, this is in reality another **anti-Christian** project run by wealthy Jews seeking to ridicule and fragmentize the Christian community. In line with this, we find that Thomas Ball Barratt and his partner and fellow pentecostalist, Erik Nordquelle, quickly gets into a feud and splits up forming two separate versions of Norwegian Pentecostalism. Today, there are several pentecostalist congregations that each seem to have slightly different creeds.

Sylvei Brun Lie's brother was the Holocaust survivor Arne Brun Lie. This guy was in the Norwegian resistance during the war but was captured by the Gestapo when he was **18** and sentenced to death. Luckily, he escaped the death sentence because of a clerical error. As Miles would say, right! Instead he was sent to Dachau where he spent **18** months. After the war, he didn't speak about his experiences until 40 years had passed. He only started talking when he moved to the U.S. in the 80s, where he


wrote a book about his concentration camp experience called *Night and Fog*. One can listen to Arne Brun Lie's incredible story [here](#) at the Yale Library. **One can then puke wherever one likes.**

From what we have seen now, pulling at the Arne Treholt thread just leads to more hoaxes and so the fact that the relatives of Breivik's judges were involved with the Treholt case does not lend them any credibility.

All the camp-participants at Utøya were of course members of the Labour Party youth organization "Worker's Youth League". Many of them were probably also the kids of parents who were members of the Labour Party. In [Miles's paper](#) we met Viljar Hanssen. He is the son of Labour Party politician and mayor of Longyearbyen, Christin Kirstoffersen, and the stepson of the mayor of Oslo and former Labour Party secretary Raymond Johansen. Through Raymond Johansen we link both to former Labour Party minister of the environment, Torbjørn Berntsen who was his uncle and to a guy that used to be Raymond Johansen's -father-in-law, Reiulf Steen, former chairman of the Labour Party and minister of trade and shipping, transport and communication. Evidently, Viljar Hanssen isn't just a random Worker's Youth League member as the Labour Party members he is connected to are some of the most prominent and well known Labour Party profiles in Norway. Viljar Hanssens's brother, Torje Hanssen, was also at Utøya during the shootings, but unlike his brother, was never hurt.

The 17-year old son of former Labour Party minister of foreign affairs, Espen Barth Eide, was also present at Utøya during the events. When Barth Eide isn't busy weaseling in Norwegian politics trying to make right-wing policies come off as left on behalf of the Labour Party, he has been director of the World Economic Forum and now acts as a special advisor to the UN. Additionally, the father of Tarjei Jensen Bech, who we met in Miles' paper, was also a Labour Party politician. [Ylva Schwenke](#) who was injured by Breivik on the island is as mentioned above the step-daughter of Labour Party member and former state secretary Roger Ingebrigtsen. I don't think it is farfetched to guess that a substantial proportion of the youth reported to be at Utøya during the event had parents or other relatives connected to the Labour Party. A quick search on "mayor" AND "son" AND "Utøya", lets me know that the Labour Party candidate, Cecilie Bjelland, of Stavanger had two sons at Utøya during the events. One could continue like this and probably find more hits.

We can also tie the head of the 22nd of July Commission, Alexandra Bech Gjørsv, to the Labour Party through her mother-in-law, Inger Lise Gjørsv, who was a member of parliament representing the Labour Party before she became county governor of Nord-Trøndelag. Gjørsv is the name of one of the largest farms in Nord-Trøndelag and was the seat of the local nobility. The farm can trace its history back 3000 years and the name Gjørsv means "holy place covered in sacrificial blood".



The Labour Party has been the party receiving most votes in Norway at the national parliamentary elections for most of the 20th century (see graphical illustration to the left). This means that the Norwegian Labour Party has been an extremely powerful political party and still is, even though its current rating has gone below 30% to 27% at the latest national election in 2017. People seeking power and influence in Norway must have realized that controlling the Labour Party was their only chance of controlling the country and so they probably joined even though they belonged to

the political right. This has been going on everywhere in the world, and Norway is no exception. It is then perhaps not so strange to see a lot of people involved in this event having ties to the Labour Party, as that simply means, they have ties to the power structure in Norway. I don't mean to say that everyone in the Labour Party and its adherents have such ties, just that there is a limited but very powerful clique of people within the party who does. This clique of people has ties to the rest of the power structure in Norway such as the army, the police, the judicial system, industry and of course intelligence.

Norway has since WW2 had a border with Russia in the far north and was in many ways, due to its location, caught in between the fictitious cold war between the USA and the Soviet Union. The postwar era was in Norway, like in the U.S. and many other Western European countries spent being afraid of a war between the superpowers and hunting for domestic revolutionary communists. An inordinate sum of money was spent on weapons, planes, ships and other military equipment in preparation for a war that never came and was never meant to come. We all know what this was about as we know who ran the Bolshevik revolution. If you haven't read Miles' paper on this, see his papers on [Lenin](#) and [Stalin](#).

When one understands how the Russian Revolution was faked or misrepresented to the public, the Cold War takes on another meaning. Today we have the threat of terrorism, but back then it was the Soviet Union and communism that drove military spending and fear levels high. Today's terrorists are often supposedly Muslims, and the political right with its alleged tough stance on immigration capitalizes on this fictitious threat, while left wing parties seem to defend mass-immigration. This is allegedly what created Breivik's hatred of the Labour Party as he thought this party's policies would lead to Islam taking over Europe turning it into Eurabia. Back in the Cold War era, the political capitalization of terror and fear was less concealed since terror was directly blamed on left-wingers. A good example is operation [Gladio](#), where acts of terror was blamed on communists and anarchists. While the proletarian (=worker) revolution in Russia was initially thought of as positive, it quickly gained a negative reputation and became known as a proletarian dictatorship. Knowing what we now know, it was of course never a *proletarian* dictatorship, just a dictatorship run by the usual fascists and trillionaires. Today, they have North-Korea allegedly ruled by a communist dictatorship, just so you don't forget what will happen if the workers and the left gains too much power. China is another case of this, though they have turned that into the world's slave labor factory to avoid all the annoying trade unions and laws and regulations limiting profit margins, which we have in Europe and North America.

The target of the industrialists and financiers has always been the left because the left represented the working class as well as large parts of the middle class. These classes wanted to limit military spending, regulate industry, impose taxes, spend money on welfare and prevent privatization of (profit from) healthcare, education, the railway, mail distribution and worst of all, natural resources such as

oil, gas and waterfalls. They try to invert right and left by blackwashing the left and making you think your interests will best be catered for by the political right. I refer here to Miles' recent [paper](#) on this subject where he discusses the terms "liberal" and "left". This program of inversion has been quite successful and a good example is Paul Joseph Watson who claims that "[conservatism is the new counter culture](#)". In this clip Watson states how his videos contribute to turning youth from left to right. Of course, what they are turning away from is not anti-capitalism, anti-privatization or free healthcare and free education. No, they turn away from a made-up madness of gender-bending, mixed bathrooms, crazy feminists screaming #metoo, and a bunch of triggered snow-flakes who demand the world to be turned in a giant safe space, all labelled left and designed to scare and repel people half-way across the globe into another universe where black is white, up is down and left is right.

Lately, I've observed people adding information about which pronouns they want to be referred to by in their e-mail signature along with some reference to supporting diversity policies. I know they think they are very progressive, but I don't think they realize where it's coming from and that it's designed to provoke sensible people so that they will not vote for the same party the authors of these e-mails are voting for. I have been tempted to also make an addition to my e-mail signature stating that: "I identify as a multidimensional space alien from the Pleiades whose gender is fluid, meaning it changes from second to second. As I can exist with different genders in different dimensions simultaneously and am capable of interdimensional travel, I reproduce through having trans-dimensional sex with myself. For multidimensional genderfluid beings, all pronouns are discriminating and since all languages depend so heavily on pronouns, we don't accept any of them. We have our own language, which you have to learn and use if you wish to speak with us. #metoo#fromouterspace#Iwantmyownbathroomtoo".

Anyway, if you want to win a political debate, the surest way to do so is to debate an opposition you pay to act like morons – i.e. control your opposition. So, while Infowars, just like Natural News, started out pegging terrorist events as fake, they now sell them as real. Paul Joseph Watson even [manages to use](#) the [fake](#) Christchurch mosque attack in New Zealand as a way to bash leftists, while never questioning the authenticity of the event. Another video featuring Paul Joseph Watson that exemplifies this can be found [here](#). Many of the people portrayed as leftists in this video are most likely fake. Notice the British girl who opposes Brexit at 7 min 35 sec has a sign that says, "Tories out, refugees in". It might as well have said "Tories out, terrorists in". Not that I think refugees=terrorists, just that this is what people read as they think terrorists are real and that they are Muslims. In the UK, the Tories (=conservatives) have capitalized on the anti-EU sentiment to gain power, while the corrupt leadership of Labour is cast to be in favor of the EU dictatorship. That Paul Joseph Watson doesn't manage to question the authenticity of terrorist events or what he terms crazy leftists, is in my opinion beyond belief, especially since Infowars spearheaded the 9/11 movement where they called it out as a fraud. See [Miles' comment](#) on Watson who has been caught lying about his past.

Even though the Soviet Union isn't used as the main threat to international security these days, the old game is still being played by the same players and for the same purposes. It helps to compare the threat of communism to today's threat of terrorism as one can easily spot many parallels. Just like fighting communism was used as a reason for waging war on Korea and Vietnam, so has fighting terrorism been used a reason for the war in Afghanistan. Knowing what has happened before is always helpful.



The links between the Labour Party and Norwegian intelligence has sometimes surfaced and reached the public eye. One such time was in 1993 when the scandal of illegal domestic surveillance of left-wing labour and political organizations broke. The year after, in 1994 the [Lund commission was appointed](#) by the national parliament to investigate these allegations of illegal domestic politically motivated surveillance. At the Wikipedia page of the Lund commission one can read that the illegal spying was conducted by the Norwegian Police Security Agency, but this is contested in books

written about the subject that claim it was conducted by a cooperation between the military and police intelligence, the leadership of the Labour Party and the Norwegian Confederation of Trade Unions (Landsorganisasjonen (LO) in Norwegian). **Strange to see the supposedly left Labour Party spying on left-wing labour and political organizations, eh?**

The books pictured below named *De Visste Alt...Rapporter fra niende etasje* (= They knew it all. Reports from the 9th floor.) and *Vi som styrer Norge. Arbeiderpartiet og de hemmelige tjenestene* (=We who control Norway. The Labour Party and the intelligence services) detail how the illegal surveillance was directed from an office on the 9th floor of *Folkets Hus* (=people's house) in Oslo, which is the headquarter of LO. **So the Unions were spying on. . . themselves?** One of the authors, Ronald Bye, used to work in that office on the 9th floor in capacity of being secretary of LO and the Labour Party. The head of LO from 1939 to 1965 was Konrad Nordahl and in the 90s he published his diaries where he detailed this surveillance. So, the documentation of this surveillance is very good. The same kind of surveillance was going on in Sweden where the scandal that broke about this illegal surveillance was named [The IB affair](#). Sweden's prime minister at the time, Olof Palme, denied the allegations that the Swedish Social Democrats were involved. However, Palme is [admitted](#) to have worked for Swedish military intelligence before he went into politics and so we may not put too much trust in this. This fits in snugly in with Miles' paper on [his faked murder](#).

The LO headquarter, Folkets Hus, was filled with surveillance equipment such that conversations, phone calls and meetings taking place there were recorded and filed in the 9th floor office. A list of 6000 people who were suspected of being agents for the Soviet Union or otherwise deemed "unreliable" was also kept in this office. In reality, this surveillance had little to do with finding people who were working for the KGB and a lot more to do with keeping track of people who had the wrong kind of left-wing political views and opinions. What do I mean with "wrong" here, well I think anyone who voiced attitudes that threatened to reduce profit margins of the governors would qualify. In other words, being too far to the left, which I guess is 1 cm away from the right or just simply the left side of right, would probably be enough.

The fictitious cold war created the perfect pretext for suspecting left-wing sympathizers of being KGB agents and proponents of armed revolution. Of course, they must have had agent provocateurs who pretended to be far left and voiced opinions that easily lent themselves to be used as a reason to crackdown on left-wing sympathizers. We know the Russian revolutionaries were such paid agents and we should expect to find local ones who ran around calling for armed revolutions and the end of private property. Far left revolutionaries wanted [democratic centralism](#) and to incorporate the [21 conditions](#) that was required to be members of the Communist International. They also thought that a proletarian dictatorship obtained through an armed revolution was a necessary evil to achieve the destruction of the class and capitalist society. One can very well argue that these far-left revolutionaries were using a left-wing cover to set up a fascist dictatorship. If they didn't manage to make the left inedible to most ordinary people, they were at least going to use it as a means to set up their own dictatorship. The Labour Party would anyway have gained the majority vote in the parliament by means of democratic **(fake)** elections, which they did right after WW2 and so armed revolution was not necessary for them to gain power.



To better understand these far-left revolutionaries, I will revisit some historical examples of people who were devoted communists calling for armed revolution and a proletarian dictatorship, but who later seemingly turned their convictions 180° around and became members of fascist parties. This political flip-flopping is on the surface quite astonishing as the communists and fascists were bitter enemies, but I think one needs to remember that both the far left and the far-right were antidemocratic and opposed to the parliamentary system. They both wanted dictatorships as a means to fight capitalism, which they both claimed was being supported and maintained by the democratic parliamentary system. Quisling, like his political allies in the Nazi and fascist parties of Europe, claimed this capitalism was run by British Jews and so these parties were also antisemitic. The most famous renegade who performed this political metamorphosis is perhaps Mussolini, and thanks to Miles we can easily understand why he did this; because he was [an actor and an agent](#).



One other famous example is Oswald Mosley, who went from being conservative to being part of the left-wing of the British Labour Party to founding the British Union of Fascists. Mosley's full name was Sir Oswald Ernald Mosley, 6th Baronet, and so he was well connected as he was 4th cousin to the Queen, Lady Elizabeth Bowes-Lyon, the mother of Britain's reigning queen Elizabeth. Just in passing, I think it's worth noting that the Christchurch hoax perpetrator, Brenton Tarrant, said [Mosley was his role-model](#). Tarrant also claims he was [inspired by Breivik](#) and was in contact with him before

the event. As for Norwegian renegades, one example is Håkon Meyer (1896-1989) who was a member of the Labour Party and one of the leading communists in Norway at the time. He warned against the European fascism developing on the continent, but later joined Vidkun Quisling's fascist party. It is claimed he joined reluctantly and only because he thought it was the only way for workers to gain influence. I am not so convinced, though. Other leading Norwegian communists who had all been members of the Labour Party and the communist party for then only to become members of Vidkun Quisling's fascist party were Sverre Krogh (1883-1957), Eguene Olaussen (1887-1962) and Albin Eines (186-1947) who died in prison sentenced for treason (yeah...). During the war these people tried to cooperate with the Germans and convince the Norwegian working class that Quisling's

and Hitler's national socialism (fascism) would take good care of them. **Meyer was Jewish and that photo is a terrible fake.**

Håkon Meyer was the son of Labour Party politician Ludvig Meyer who was a wealthy real-estate investor and supreme court lawyer. In a similar manner to his renegade son, Ludvig Meyer left the Labour Party and advised workers to vote for the political party Right. The Meyer line on Geni only goes back a few generations, but some of his other lines go all the way back to the Viking kings. **The Meyer line only goes back a few generations because it has been scrubbed as Jewish.** When Håkon Meyer wanted to become a member of Vidkun Quisling's fascist party, the Quisling's minister of social affairs, Johan Andreas **Lippestad** (remember this is a relative of Breivik's defense attorney, Geir Lippestad), wanted proof Håkon Meyer was not Jewish due to his surname. Apparently, Lippestad was satisfied Meyer was not Jewish, but Lippestad's confirmation Meyer was not Jewish means nothing as among Quisling's government appointees we find the admittedly Jewish minister of internal affairs, Albert Wiljam Hagelin, who has been described as Quisling's right-hand man. Hagelin came from a wealthy family of jewelers, was also an aspiring **actor** and the brother in law of Quisling's minister of shipping, Kjell Stubb Irgens. In Quisling's cabinet we also find minister of finance, Anton Frederik Winter Jakhelln Prytz, who also came from a family of jewelers. The uncle of Anton Prytz was Torolf Prytz who had married Hilda Tostrup, daughter of the founder and owner of the largest jeweler in Norway, Jacob Ulrich Holfeldt Tostrup. Anton Prytz' cousin, Jacob Prytz, married Ingerid **Juel** (Juel=Jewel) who was a descendent of timber merchant and bank director Iver Albert Juel. Now, don't you think that this type of family tree should have sparked some suspicions of Anton Prytz having a Jewish heritage in the eyes of the fascist and antisemitic government he was minister in? No, just like with Hagelin, who they must have known to be Jewish, this was not a problem. It wasn't a problem either that the nephew of Anton Prytz actively took part in the resistance during the war. Yeah, right. All this dovetails with Miles' papers about Hitler and the Nazi regime where we learn that the top Nazis were in reality Jewish (see [Hitler's Genealogy](#) and [The Beer Hall Putsch](#)).

In a book about these Norwegian renegades called *Fra Marx to Lenin. Fem sosialisters vei til NS.* (=From Marx to Quisling. The road of five socialists to Quisling's party), Meyer was reported to have been giving refuge to Russian Jewish revolutionaries and to have helped Jews escape the country during WW2. It doesn't say who these revolutionaries were, but it is said he supported and helped bring Lev Trotskij who was Jewish, to Norway. Trotskij stayed in exile in Norway for a year and a half, before he was deported to Mexico. **And you remember where Trotsky stayed while in Norway? Utøya.**

Håkon Meyer's brother was [Karl Meyer](#) who was a stockbroker, businessman and founder of a fascist party called National Legion that was inspired by Mussolini. It's amusing to read that one of the leaders of this party was a circus director. This party campaigned against communism and was in favor of closing down the parliament and ending national elections. Strangely, they didn't become very popular and Karl was thrown out of the party and accused of embezzlement, for which he was found guilty and had to spend one year in jail in 1934. After this he moved to England and during the WW2 he was active in the Norwegian resistance. After the war he lived in London where he made a living trading stamps. OK, does any of this make sense? While his brother is a devoted communist, this guy founds a fascist party and while his brother joins the fascist Norwegian Nazi party during the war, this guy works in the resistance. And a fascist stockbroker who becomes a stamp trader? Seriously? I won't go into the other Norwegian renegades, as I think we have spotted the pattern. This was just a short a digression to illustrate that the hunt for communist revolutionaries was a sham as they were hunting for their own agents.



All the illegal domestic surveillance taking place in Norway during the cold war era has been excused as a necessary response to the threat of a Soviet invasion and preventing an armed revolution by domestic communists. Since Norway is so close to Russia, people have tended to believe this, but as indicated above, I think the threat was constructed and used as a pretext for allocating funds and setting up surveillance of their political adversaries. Today, terrorism is used as a way to give the police and military the right to electronically surveil people and to diminish our right to privacy. One might wonder why they decided to replace the threat of communism with terrorism. Maybe it has something to do with the traditional Western working class having been pretty much decimated. Additionally, they've also pretty much managed to completely blackwash the left and so there aren't many people left who could be labelled as communists. The communist narrative doesn't fit anymore, yet they still want keep our adrenaline levels and military spending high and justify constant surveillance, which I guess is meant for people like Miles and others who venture off the garden path. The illegal domestic surveillance of alleged communists and left-wing sympathizers in Norway was not restricted to LO's headquarter, but also took place within workplaces where agents were placed and tasked with reporting people's political opinions to this network consisting of intelligence agents and Labour Party and trade union heads. Today, I suppose they don't need their office on the 9th floor, and instead it's all done electronically. **Actually, I don't think they spend much time surveilling people like me. They spend a bit of time blackwashing me and slandering me, but their surveillance is mostly saved for one another. In other words, the rich families are most worried about other rich families. They aren't worried about a revolution from the lower or middle classes. The octopus is worried about getting strangled by one of its own tentacles.**

The book *De visste alt...* explains that Inger Lise Gjørvi (we already met her above), who was a member of parliament representing the Labour Party when the illegal surveillance scandal broke and parliament decided to establish an investigative commission, denied all allegations of the Labour Party being involved in illegal domestic surveillance. Such allegations had existed for decades prior to the early 90s when the Lund commission was created and inquiries into the allegations had always concluded there wasn't anything illegal going on. As a result of this scandal that broke in the early 90s, parliament decided to appoint a committee that would oversee the intelligence services to make sure this didn't happen again. This committee was called the [Norwegian Parliamentary Intelligence Oversight Committee](#) and we already know one of the members of this committee, the Breivik judge Wenche Elisabeth Arntzen.


The head of Norwegian military intelligence for most of the cold war era was Labour Party member Wilhelm Wexelsen Evang. He was also member of a communist organization called Mot Dag. Just



the type who ends up as head of military intelligence isn't it? He didn't even have any military training or background, yet still made the rank of **colonel**. What this guy does have though is an impressive pedigree that I could trace all the way back to year 123BC. He is related to all the Viking kings and to Charlemagne. So, we can assume he was well connected. As head of intelligence he oversaw the establishment of the CIA-financed Stay Behind network in Norway, which was a civilian intelligence network without any democratic oversight that used the threat of communism as their *raison d'être*. Evang appointed Alf Martens **Meyer** as head of the Stay Behind network in Norway. The Stay Behind networks were initiated by the USA through NATO, and in many ways became an extension of British and American intelligence. Norway is not alone in having a Stay Behind network as they were in all NATO countries and

some other European countries. Sometimes these Stay Behind networks are referred to as *Gladio*, which was actually the name for the Italian Stay Behind network. In Norway, the Stay Behind network scandal broke when the police found a large number of weapons in the home of shipowner, Hans Otto **Meyer** (see images below). I am guessing this was a result of an internal feud between military and police intelligence. I don't know if the Meyers in this paper are related, but one may wonder. **They are.** The Stay Behind network consisted of many cells of people who weren't professional agents or soldiers, but who had civilian day-time jobs. They were often upper-class and belonged to the political Right, which I guess is why they found this network useful as they could use it to target their political adversaries. Around the country there was also a large number of weapons and supply deposits that these cells would use in the case of war. One can read about the Norwegian arm of the Stay Behind network in the book *Norges Hemmelige Hær. The Story of Stay Behind* (Norway's secret army. The story of Stay Behind. Image below) , which is available for free online [here](#).

Both the Stay Behind network and the Labour Party and trade union intelligence network were on the surface hunting for communists and Soviet agents, but in reality this was a powerful intelligence apparatus with powerful foreign connections that could be used to surveil and control the political enemies of those in charge of it. One of the important points for the investigation of 22/7, is that the top of the Labour Party has a long history of intimate links to military and police intelligence. Through the Stay Behind network, foreign intelligence networks such as the CIA and MI6 lurk in the background and may pull the strings. It is in such a historical perspective I think one has to view the 22/7 events, while of course keeping in mind everything Miles has uncovered.



Lastly, I want to mention that we have had mini-Breivik event occurring on the **10th of August** in 2019 where I guy named Philip Manshaus (images below) [attacked a mosque](#) in Bærum. Manshaus follows in the tradition of Breivik being critical of immigration and strongly disliking Islam. Like Breivik he also gives a Nazi salute in court (image below). There were no victims at the mosque other than himself as those present there allegedly managed to disarm him giving him the many bruises one can see in one the image below. I think the bruises around his eyes look too purple and if he had really been hit, the tissues around his eyes should have been swollen. Before attacking the mosque, he had shot and killed his **17** year old Asian stepsister, Johanne Zhangja Ihle Hansen. Apparently, he had something against all foreigners and not just Muslims.

The place where Manshaus grew up and committed the crime, Bærum, is known as a posh suburb of Oslo and Manshaus was not poor with 3 million NOK (= 335191 USD) in his bank account and a real estate portfolio worth millions of Norwegian kroner. At the Norwegian Wikipedia page about him one can read that he had been involved in the scout movement in Laestadianism and been radicalized at an [organic folk school](#). **There they are, blackwashing organics again, no doubt at the behest of Monsanto.** Laestadianism was founded by [Lars Levi Laestadius](#), which takes me back to what I wrote about the Pentacostalist movement. Being radicalized at such a school is beyond belief and one may wonder why they chose it. I guess they wanted to blackwash the scouts and organic farming in one go here so that we will be afraid of curly blond guys who have been scouts and like organic food. Amazingly, I also learn that Philip Manshaus has been a student at a Waldorf high school in Oslo where he specialized in [literature](#). **No, the Waldorf school and the Scouts are spook hangouts.**

One of the three guys who fought and disarmed Manshaus was Mohammed Rafiq. He had previously been a soldier in the Pakistani airforce, which indicates to me that this guy still works for Intelligence and that he was in on it. On the day of the vent, the police received a phone call about shootings at the mosque at 16.07, but the person calling had spoken with a strong accent and they evaluated this situation to be of low concern. However, 4 minutes later they then changed their minds and sent all available personnel to the Mosque, which was **8** police officers. Before the event, Manshaus had praised his fellow 2019 shooters such as Brenton Tarrant (Christchurch), John Timothy Earnest (Poway synagogue shooting) and Patrick Crusius (El Paso shooting) claiming he was the third disciple. Like Breivik, Manshaus was also a big fan of Vidkun Quisling. These terrorists seem to like referring to other hoaxes for some reason. *The New York Times* has made a nice overview of this [interconnected web of hoaxes](#) featuring white extremists. We can also draw another connection to the Breivik case as the lawyer of his father regarding this case is one of Breivik's defense attorney's Vibeke Hein Bæra.



One cannot find out who the relatives of Philip Manshaus are online, but since there are only 22 persons with the last name Manshaus in Norway, I am guessing Philip Manshaus is related to [Karl-Edwin Manshaus](#) who was the highest ranking bureaucrat for the ministry of oil and energy in Norway and counsellor of energy affairs at the Norwegian Embassy in the U.S. Currently, Karl-Edwin Manshaus is director of communications at the oil and gas company Conoco Philips.

On Geni we can find a man named Edwin Manshaus (1900-1988) who has three brothers. I am guessing this is the [Colonel Edwin Manshaus](#) who we can find entries for online. One of his brothers is named Arne Manshaus (1895-1984) and he is recorded as having died in Bærum, Norway, which is where Philip Manshaus grew up and carried out the attack. Arne is recorded as having two children, but one is registered as private. One cannot get further as the children of the son who is listed with a name are also listed as private. Online we can find a guy named Arne Morten Manshaus who has an address that coincides with where they found Philip Manshaus' stepsister and so this must be his father. His dad may have been named after his great grandfather, Arne Manshaus, or others who came later and also took this name. Arne Morten Manshaus is listed as being a woodchipper and this may explain why Philip Manshaus in court gave woodchipper as his profession. Since there are so few with the last name Manshaus in Norway, I am also guessing that Philip is related to lawyer Halvor Andreas **Holmboe** Manshaus. Remember we saw this name in [part one](#) of the Breivik series. So, we have a link to the Holmboes linking us back to the Breivik event. Apparently, Halvor Andreas Holmboe Manshaus is one of the top five [best paid lawyers](#) in the country. He also serves on the Supreme Court now. One may wonder how come a woodchipper's son who claims his profession is woodchipper makes as much money as Philip Manshaus is supposed to have made. It makes me think these people are not just wood chippers. **Three million in the bank for woodchipping? What a joke!**


Three medical examiners evaluated if Manshaus was sane or not and concluded that he was. In this [article](#), they list four recent high-profile cases these examineers have taken part in. One case involves the serial rapist [Julio Kopseng](#) who was convicted of **18** rapes and was sentenced to the longest prison sentence available in Norway (21 years). Kopseng was a dancer who had taken part in dance shows on TV, but allegedly spent his spare time drugging down and raping women. Some of his victims and his girlfriend have been interviewed on TV, and in one such interview his girlfriend claims he raped and tortured her daily. One of his victims came from the UK to visit him via a couch-surfing service. Personally, I am not inclined to believe any of this given what Miles has written about serial killers and the "men are pigs" project. The reason they mention couch surfing is probably because it is free and the hotels and AirBnBs don't make anything on it, so they want you to be afraid of it.

Another case these medical examiners were involved in was the [murder of a girl named Sigrid](#). A guy in his late 30s who suffered from paranoid schizophrenia allegedly hit her with his car and then instead of calling an ambulance, he put the unconscious Sigrid in his trunk. He took her to a trailer home where she woke and then he hit her head so hard she died. He is then supposed to have tried resuscitating her several times. As can be seen noted at the bottom of the Wikipedia page linked

above, the effect of this case was to take fear levels to new heights, especially among teenagers, parents and women. I don't believe this case to be real either as it isn't believable and we know they fake these things on a regular basis. Also notice the surname of the perpetrator, which is **Giske**, a name we met in Miles' papers on the Breivik event. It is also the surname of a high-profile Labour Party politician named Trond Giske who has recently been involved in a #MeToo-type sex scandal. Though, sex scandal is a bit too strong for the types of allegations he has been subject to which involves some inappropriate flirting and kissing. One of the women most vocal about Giske's sexual harassment is Labour Party member Line Oma, who coincidentally was one of the survivors of the bombings in Oslo. Below the Wikipedia section about people being more afraid after the Sigrid case, Wikipedia wants us to read about the Baneheia murders that [Miles already covered](#) in the Breivik papers and another murder case where one of the murderers was named - guess what name - you're right. It's **Khan**. Khan=Kohen. I won't continue with the other cases as I think these cases are enough to question the credibility of these medical examiners.

So, I don't think the Manhaus event was real, nor do I think the Breivik event happened the way we are told. There are way too many anomalies and suspicious circumstances. To get to this point, requires one to move past what self-proclaimed hoax researchers like Ole Dammegård claim to be true (see [Miles' paper](#) about Dammegård). By looking into the Breivik case, I have also learned that one hoax leads me to another, which leads to another and so on almost indefinitely. These people are linked, related and reoccur in different hoaxes. Their ancestors took part in previous hoaxes and it's like they passed it on as a family tradition. My family tradition is to have eggnog with cognac for breakfast on our national day, but the tradition of these people is to organize propaganda theater productions involving not just their closest family, but their extended one as well.

I thought I'd end with a quiz: how many of the images of Oswald Mosley below do you think are fake?





And the answer is, of course, all of them.